

April 6, 1982 ^{Clm Conkin} 481-5174
Charlotte Welby 481-4568

• Dan Wilson - Fugitive Dust Emission

1. Impact from chimney (stack) Emissions should be adaptive
DW-Cramer study - isopleth - chimney are min on coal area - will consider this in revised
2. Utah Valley - Stearns/Rogers approved model?
DW said that this model was not as forgiving as the ~~IB~~ model - 24 hrs
3. Haul roads - ? temporary source and will not be a permanent impact - Put it in and say it was a temporary impact at doesn't need to be included
- 4 Use coal F for worse case modified
worse case - stack emissions
Use coal B ~~for~~ design coal for average
worse case $\frac{1}{2}B + \frac{1}{2}F$ as used for boiler }
5. ~~Reserve~~ was not sited for the worse case because it was over $\sim (38-39)$ for large coal pile.
6. Emission Factor - ERT 1.60 should not be used if other can be used - We will send B&V a copy -
7. Average on the NNE is a possibility
• Wind screens across pile?
90% efficiency for pile is EPA approved

8. National ambient — use not used because of background emissions are so undefined
TC - said not to worry about including - but address it in the study, Tim

9. Complete the study - In comment phase - ^{ing} week revise

10. Don't do anything until the project office ok.'s added cost - Tim

11. Ash silo unloading - Pedco study included - ^{20 lbs/tons}
0.01 loss of material EPA
Emission factor - 0.2 lbs/tons w/ 99% controls
0.01 w/ 1000,000 tons/year

12. Include the fly ash vent's

13. Check the reserve

14 8,000,000 tons/year - 2.9 trains/day - limestone pile